

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

P 03/15729

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
Int.Cl⁷ C11D3/20, 3/30

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)
Int.Cl⁷ C11D3/20, 3/30

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)
CAPLUS (STN), REGISTRY (STN)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	US 3523797 A (GEVAERT-AGFA N.V.), 11 August, 1970 (11.08.70), Table I, compound Nos. 10 to 11 & DE 1522400 A & FR 1496595 A & NL 6614230 A & BE 688062 A & GB 1162135 A	1-7
A	JP 9-31394 A (Sanyo Chemical Industries, Ltd.), 04 February, 1997 (04.02.97), Preparation example 2 (Family: none)	1-7
A	US 3746545 A (AGFA-GEVAERT N.V.), 17 July, 1973 (17.07.73), Compound Nos. I, XIII & FR 2096094 A & BE 768366 A & GB 1341556 A & CA 986642 A	1-7

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. ☐ See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:	"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
"E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date	"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	"&" document member of the same patent family
"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	
"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	

Date of the actual completion of the international search 22 March, 2004 (22.03.04)	Date of mailing of the international search report 13 April, 2004 (13.04.04)
--	---

Name and mailing address of the ISA/
Japanese Patent Office

Authorized officer

Facsimile No.

Telephone No.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No.

PCT/JP03/15729

C (Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
P, A	JP 2003-253197 A (Kyoeisha Kagaku Kabushiki Kaisha), 10 September, 2003 (10.09.03), Abstract; example 3 (Family: none)	1-7
A	JP 2001-502735 A (BASF AG.), 27 February, 2001 (27.02.01), Full text (a reference cited in the specification). & US 6083898 A & WO 98/17764 A1 & DE 19643133 A1 & EP 934385 A	1-7
A	JP 11-58319 A (THE PROCTER & GAMBLE CO.), 21 July, 1999 (21.07.99), Full text (a reference cited in the specification) & US 6071871 A & WO 97/04228 A1 & AU 28110973 A & CA 2252859 A & ZA 9703758 A & NO 985106 A & CZ 9803509 A & EP 917562 A1	1-7
A	JP 2002-536534 A1 (BASF AG.), 29 October, 2002 (29.10.02), Full text & WO 00/47704 A1 & EP 1151072 A & DE 19905393 A	1-7

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No.

PO JP03/15729

Box I Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)

This international search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:

1. ☐ Claims Nos.:
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:

2. ☒ Claims Nos.: 1-4, 6-7
because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:

(See extra sheet)

3. ☐ Claims Nos.:
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).

Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)

This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:

1. ☐ As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.

2. ☐ As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.

3. ☐ As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:

4. ☐ No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:

Remark on Protest ☐ The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.
☐ No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

Continuation of Box No. I-2 of continuation of first sheet (1)

What specific structures the wording "a compound having 2 to 32 hydroxyl groups (component (a))" and the wording "a compound having at least two hydroxyl-reactive functional groups (component (b))" in claim 1 include cannot be clearly grasped, so that what specific structures the wording "a crosslinked compound" obtained by reacting component (a) with component (b) includes is also unclear.

In this respect, the same applies to claims 2-4 and 6-7 referring to claim 1.

Among the above crosslinked compounds, only compounds obtained by using triethanolamine or glycerin as the component (a) and ethylene glycol diglycidyl ether, polyethylene glycol diglycidyl ether, or sorbitol polyglycidyl ether as the component (b) are specifically disclosed in the description by means of specific preparative examples and test results permitting objective confirmation of effects as soil-releasing agent. Therefore, it is not considered that crosslinked compounds far different from these compounds in structure and properties also exhibit equivalent effects.

Thus, claims 1-4 and 6-7 are not clearly and concisely disclosed and not fully supported by the description, so that they do not satisfy the prescribed requirements provided for in PCT Article 6.

As to claims 1-4 and 6-7, partial search has been made on the basis of Examples.